

Jessie & James

Critical Design Review - March 30, 2018 John Targonski & Michael Moruzzi



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Agenda

Introduction & Motivation

-Discoveries -Design Considerations -Trade Studies -Operating Conditions J&J Design & Analysis -Engine Design Tool (GUI) -Engine, Injector Sizing, & Nozzle Sizing -Overall Engine Design -Thermal Control -Engine Interfaces -Modular Features

J&J Build & Test -Print Design -Post Machining -Assembly

-Fasteners & Sealing

- -Tolerance Stack Ups -Engine Checkouts Future Modifications Supplementary Material
- -MEL, Cost, Schedule



MOTIVATION & INTRODUCITON

USCViterbi

School of Engineering



Jessie & James (J&J) – Pair of 3D printed Maraging Steel Kerosene/Gaseous Oxygen Liquid Rocket Engines that feature ablative & film cooling that will integrated and tested on our mobile thrust stand (Hydra)





School of Engineering

Why Continue Building GOX rocket engines?

- Hydra (our mobile thrust stand) is not cryo-rated and would require significate resources and funding to make the transition.
- Keep Hydra Operational (Hydra doesn't currently have an engine to test)
 - Blue Steel 2.0 (previous engine) was only intended to be fired once
- J&J/Hydra can serve as a morale booster, learning tool for new members &, help give experience to our test & operations team
- Enable the LPL the ability to test rocket engines with no dependences on hardware
 - Balerion will require renting a test stand in order to conduct tests



Motivation & IntroductionUSC ViterbiDiscoveries from Inaugural Hydra Static FireSchool of Engineering

- The inaugural static fire of (12/02/18) was to test Hydra (mobile test stand) while using the labs originally built rocket engine (Blue Steel)
- Hydra featured a student designed & built kerosene/gaseous oxygen (GOX) feed system and data acquisition/control unit
- Hydra was designed to provide convenient way to perform static fires at FAR in the Mojave desert and future plans are to help accelerate the "learning curve" for new members by performing modifications on this relatively simple system

The J&J rocket engines have been designed to integrate onto Hydra and enable the LPL the ability to perform static fire with 100% LPL designed hardware



Motivation & IntroductionUSC ViterbiDiscoveries from Inaugural Hydra Static FireSchool of Engineering

Injector Deterioration

- The injector experienced serve deterioration (Could have been a result of excessive BKNO₃ and/or chamber temperature
- This limited the lifetime of this injector to 1 static fire
- Injector is not a long term solution as fabrication time took ~3 weeks and total cost of material and labor was ~ \$3,5000

New J&J injector would be designed to lower both the cost and lead time





Motivation & IntroductionUSC ViterbiDiscoveries from Inaugural Hydra Static FireSchool of Engineering

Nozzle Ablation

- The nozzle experienced a significant amount of ablation during the 5 second static fire
- This ablation of the nozzle resulted in a throat area that was 2.1 times larger then the initial throat area
- As the throat area increased, the chamber pressure had to decrease in order to compensate, which in turn lead to a increase in mass flow rate throughout the static fire

J&J would be designed to mitigate this phenomena



 D_t (before) = 1 inch

 $D_t(after) = 1.5$ inches

$$\frac{A_t(\text{after})}{A_t(\text{before})} = 2.1$$



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Jessie & James Design Considerations

- Designed to be integrated & tested on LPL's mobile test stand Hydra
- Design to be LPL's workhorse engine
 - Ability to be fired at various operating conditions
 - Ability to be fired separately or in tandem
- Simplified design that is 3D printed
 - Minimal parts, fabricated in a relatively short lead time and at a low cost
- Reusable with minimal maintenance and hardware changes
 - One injector design that can be used at various operating conditions
 - Nozzle with minimal ablation



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

How to determine a operating condition for Jessie & James?

- Attempt to squeeze max performance out of Hydra
 - Design to the max mass flow rate Hydra can deliver while staying in a reliable range
- Push the boundaries for a student run university lab
 - First university to design, print (using USC's new Center of Advanced Manufacturing), assemble, and test an additive manufactured rocket engine in house
 - Operate an engine at the highest chamber pressure ever designed & tested by a university (1,000 psi) (69 bar)
 - Become the first university to perform a dual engine static fire





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Why two Rocket Engines?

- LPL's partnership with the Kyushu Institute of Technology (Kyutech) to design, build, and integrate a propulsion system on their vehicle which will feature 2 flight engines
- Allows the LPL an opportunity to get over any growing pains of firing multiple engines simultaneously before completely building the Kyutech flight propulsion system
- Allows the LPL the ability to conduct dual engine tests in a relatively cheap, safe, and less complex manner
 - No cryogens
 - Cheap & rapid engine production (in the event of an anomaly)



Motivation & Introduction Jessie & James Trade Study

Derivation to show how mass flow rate and pressure affect injector sizing for J&J

$$\dot{m} = c_d A \sqrt{2 \rho \Delta P}$$

Fuel Line (Incompressible Fluid)

$$\dot{m}_f = c_d A_f \sqrt{2\rho_f (P_{inj,f} - P_c)}$$

Where

 $c_d = 0.7$ (square edge oriface) $A_f = injector \ oriface \ total \ area$ $\rho_f = 810 \ kg/m^3$ $P_{inj,f} = Fuel \ injector \ pressure$ $P_c = chamber \ pressure$ Oxygen Line (Compressible Fluid)

$$\dot{m}_o = c_d A_{i,o} \sqrt{2\rho_o (P_{inj,o} - P_c)} \qquad \rho_0 = \frac{P_{inj,o}}{R_0 T_0}$$

$$\dot{m}_o = c_d A_{i,o} \sqrt{2(\frac{P_{inj,o}}{R_0 T_0})(P_{inj,o} - P_c)}$$

Where

 $c_d = 0.7$ (square edge oriface) $A_{i,o} = oxygen injector oriface total area$ $R_0 = 259.8 oxygen gas constant$ $P_{inj,f} = fuel injector pressure$ $T_0 = oxygen temperature$ $P_c = chamber pressure$



USCViterbi

Motivation & Introduction Jessie & James Trade Study

Derivation to show how mass flow rate and pressure affect injector sizing for J&J

Oxygen Line (Compressible Fluid)

$$A_i = \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{c_d}\right) \sqrt{\frac{R_0 T_0}{2Pi_{,0} \left(P_{i,o} - P_c\right)}}$$

Where

 $P_{i,0} = \text{oxygen injection pressure}$

 $P_d = \%$ pressure drop

$$P_{i,o} = P_c(1+P_d)$$

Substitute and after some algebra...

$$A_i = \frac{\dot{m}}{P_c} \left(\frac{1}{P_d^{1.5} c_d}\right) \sqrt{T_o R_o}$$

Fuel Line (*Incompressible Fluid*)

$$A_{i} = \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{c_{d}}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2\rho}\right)\frac{1}{\left(P_{i,f} - P_{c}\right)}}$$

Where

 $P_{i,f}$ = fuel injection pressure P_d = % pressure drop

 $P_{i,f} = P_c(1+P_d)$

Substitute and after some algebra...

$$A_i = \frac{\dot{m}}{\boldsymbol{P_c^{0.5} P_d^{0.5}}} \left(\frac{1}{c_d}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2\rho}\right)}$$

 \therefore Keeping A_i and P_d constant \dot{m} and P_d scale proportionally

: Keeping A_i , double \dot{m} and P_c , and the percent P_d will double

USCViterbi

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Jessie & James Trade Study Injector Design:

Engine Injectors initial sized for a **20% pressure drop** for the **fuel & a 20% pressure drop** for **oxygen** orifices and at **50%** of Hydra **max mass flow rate** (Dual Engine Conditions)

Using the same injector for at **100%** of Hydra's **max mass flow rate** will result in a **20% pressure drop** through the **oxygen** side of the injector and a **40%** pressure drop through the **fuel** side. (Single Engine Conditions)





Maximizing Hydra's Performance

USCViterbi



SCFM to Mass Flow Rate

SCFM (Standard Cubic Feet per Minute)

CFM = SCFM $\times \frac{P_{atm}}{P} \times \frac{T}{T_{atm}}$ $\dot{m} = (CFM)\rho$ $\rho = \frac{P}{TR}$ (gas)

where: CFM (cubic feet per minute)

Fluid Correction Factor

$$F_G = \sqrt{\frac{SG_{ref}}{SG_{act}}}$$

where: $SG = specific \ gravity$ SG_{act} is the specific gravity of your system fluid. $SG^{oxygen} = 1.1044$ $SG^{Nitrogen(pure)} = 0.9669$ $SG^{air} = 1.0$



USCViterbi

Single Engine Oxygen Mass Flow Rate to SCFM

Notice: Cylinder Pressures & Temperatures Cancel Out $\dot{m}_{0} = 1.65 \ ^{lbm}/_{s} \left(0.75 \ ^{kg}/_{s}\right)$ $\left(\frac{m^{3}}{s}\right)_{02} = (0.75) \frac{kg}{s} (298) K(259.8) \frac{J}{Kg-K} \left(\frac{1}{1.01E5}\right) \frac{1}{Pa} = 0.57 \left(\frac{m^{3}}{s}\right)$ $SCFM_{02} = (0.57) \frac{m^{3}}{s} \left(\frac{1^{3}}{0.3048^{3}}\right) \frac{ft^{3}}{m^{3}} \left(\frac{60}{1}\right) \frac{s}{min}$

(SCFM is in English units)

Note: For a better estimate take into account atmospheric temperature for the time of year

(desert has hot summers and cold winters) For $T_{atm} = 40 \text{ F} (277 \text{ K}) \rightarrow 1190 SCFM_{Air}$ $T_{atm} = 100 \text{ F} (311 \text{ K}) \rightarrow 1336 SCFM_{Air}$

$$SCFM_{Air} = SCFM_{O2} \sqrt{\frac{SG_{02}}{SG_{air}}}$$
$$SCFM_{Air} = 1214 \sqrt{\frac{1.1044}{1}}$$

*SCFM*_{*Air*} = **1276**

 $SCFM_{02} = 1214$



USCViterbi

Single Engine Oxygen Regulator Set Pressure

For a cylinder pressure of 2600 psi and a desired flow rate of 1276 SCFM_{air}, setting the regulator to 1500 psi will result in an outlet pressure of about 1260 psi



USCViterbi



Single Engine Nitrogen Mass Flow Rate

Volmetric Flow_{N,I} = Volumetric Flow_{F,I}Volmetric Flow = \dot{m}/ρ $\dot{m}_N/\rho_{N,I} = \dot{m}_F/\rho_{F,I}$ $\rho_{F=}810 \ kg/m^3$ $P_{N,I} = \rho_{N,I}R_{N,I}T_{N,I}$

$$\dot{m}_N = rac{\dot{m}_F P_{N,I}}{
ho_{F,I} R_{N,I} T_{N,I}}$$

NOTE: Temperature at nitrogen interface $T_{N,I}$ will change the require \dot{m}_N and needs to be taken into account . Also $\rho_{F,I}$ may vary slightly For $T_{N,I}$ = 40 F (277 K) $\rightarrow \dot{m}_N$ = 0.06 $\frac{kg}{s}$ For $T_{N,I}$ = 100 F (311 K) $\rightarrow \dot{m}_N$ = 0.053 $\frac{kg}{s}$

$$\dot{m}_{F} = 0.88 \, lbm/_{s} \left(0.4 \, \frac{kg}{s}\right)$$

$$\rho_{F} = 810 \, kg/m^{3}$$

$$P_{N,I} = 1450 \, psi \, (10 \, \text{Mpa})$$

$$T_{N,I} = 75^{\circ}F \, (297 \, K)$$

$$R_{N,I} = (296.8 \, \frac{J}{Kg-K})$$

$$\dot{m}_{N} = \frac{0.4(10E6)}{(810)(296.8)(297)}$$

$$\dot{m}_{N} = 0.123 \, lbm/_{s} \, (0.056 \, \frac{kg}{s})$$



School of Engineering

USCViterbi

USC Viterbi

School of Engineering

Single Engine Nitrogen Mass Flow Rate to SCFM

$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{P}{P_{atm}} \frac{T_{atm}}{T} \frac{RT}{P}$$

$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{T_{atm} R}{P_{atm}}$$
where $T_{atm} = 298 \ K$ $P_{atm} = 1.01 \ E5 \ Pa$
Note: Don't forget about units!

(SCFM is in English units)

 $0.049\left(\frac{m^{3}}{s}\right) = (0.056)\frac{kg}{s}(298)K(296.8)\frac{J}{Kg-K}\left(\frac{1}{1.01E5}\right)\frac{1}{Pa}$ $SCFM_{N2} = (0.049)\frac{m^{3}}{s}\left(\frac{1^{3}}{0.3048^{3}}\right)\frac{ft^{3}}{m^{3}}\left(\frac{60}{1}\right)\frac{s}{min}$ $SCFM_{N2} = 104$

 $\dot{m}_N = 0.123 \ lbm/s (0.056 \ kg/s)$

Note: Variations in T for the Nitrogen DOES effect the overall SCFM because it changes the required \dot{m}_N . (desert has hot summers and cold winters) For $T_{atm} = 40 \text{ F} (277 \text{ K}) \rightarrow \dot{m}_N = 0.06 \frac{kg}{s} \rightarrow 111 SCFM_{N2}$ $T_{atm} = 100 \text{ F} (311 \text{ K}) \rightarrow \dot{m}_N = 0.053 \frac{kg}{s} \rightarrow 98 SCFM_{N2}$



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

J 500 psig (34.4 bar)
 L 1000 psig (68.9 bar)

R 3600 psig (248 bar)
 W 6000 psig (413 bar)

Inlet Pressure

Single Engine Nitrogen Regulator Set Pressure

Desired Regulator Outlet Pressure – 1450 psi (40% pressure drop over injector and 50 psi estimated line loss)

Setting the regulator to 2,000 psi would result in a pressure drop of about 200 psi at 104 SCFM

Therefore, if we want an outlet pressure of 1,450 psi we should set the regulator to 1,650psi.



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Jessie & James Operating Condition Summary

Single Engine Operating Conditions				
$\dot{M}_{TOT} = 1.15 \ kg$	OF = 1.875			
Fuel	OX			
Injector $\%P_d = 40 \%$	Injector $\%P_d = 20 \%$			
<i>Cylinder Pressure = 2600 psi</i>	Cylinder Pressure = 2600 psi			
P _{regulate} = 1650 psi	P _{regulate} = 1500 psi			
P_{supply} = 1450 psi	P_{supply} = 1260 psi			
$P_{injector} = 1400 \ psi$	$P_{injector} = 1200 \ psi$			
$P_{chamber} = 1000 psi$	$P_{chamber} = 1000 \ psi$			



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Dual Engine Oxygen Mass Flow Rate to SCFM

$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{P}{P_{atm}} \frac{T_{atm}}{T} \frac{RT}{P}$$
$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{T_{atm}}{P_{atm}} \frac{R}{P}$$

Note: Don't forget about units!

(SCFM is in English units)

$$\dot{m}_{0} = \mathbf{1.65} \ ^{lbm}/s (\mathbf{0.75} \ ^{kg}/s)$$

$$0.57(\frac{m^{3}}{s}) = (0.75) \frac{kg}{s} (298) \text{K}(259.8) \frac{J}{Kg-K} \left(\frac{1}{1.01E5}\right) \frac{1}{Pa}$$

$$SCFM_{02} = (0.57) \frac{m^{3}}{s} \left(\frac{1^{3}}{0.3048^{3}}\right) \frac{ft^{3}}{m^{3}} \left(\frac{60}{1}\right) \frac{s}{min}$$

$$SCFM_{02} = \mathbf{1214}$$

$$SCFM_{Air} = SCFM_{02} \sqrt{\frac{SG_{02}}{SG_{air}}}$$

$$SCFM_{Air} = 1214 \sqrt{\frac{1.1044}{1}}$$

$$\text{SCFM}_{Air} = 1214 \sqrt{\frac{1.1044}{1}}$$

Note: Same Oxygen Mass Flow & SCFM rate as Single Engine Fire

 $SCFM_{Air} = 1276$

Note: For a better estimate take into account atmospheric temperature for the time of year

(desert has hot summers and cold winters) For $T_{atm} = 40 \text{ F} (277 \text{ K}) \rightarrow 1190 SCFM_{Air}$ $T_{atm} = 100 \text{ F} (311 \text{ K}) \rightarrow 1336 SCFM_{Air}$



Dual Engine Oxygen Regulator Set Pressure

Desired Regulator Outlet Pressure – 650 psi (20% pressure drop over injector and 50 psi estimated line loss)

For a cylinder pressure of 2600 psi and a desired flow rate of 1276 SCFM_{ain} ~ 300 psi drop for regulator set to 800 psi and about 200 psi for regulator set at 1000 psi.

Therefore setting regulator to 900 psi <u>may</u> result in desired outlet pressure



School of Engineering

USCViterbi

Dual Engine Nitrogen Mass Flow Rate

Volmetric Flow_{N,I} = Volumetric Flow_{F,I} Volmetric Flow = \dot{m}/ρ $\dot{m}_N/\rho_{N,I} = \dot{m}_F/\rho_{F,I}$ $\rho_{F=}810 \ kg/m^3$ $P_{N,I} = \rho_{N,I}R_{N,I}T_{N,I}$

$$\dot{m}_N = rac{\dot{m}_F P_{N,I}}{
ho_{F,I} R_{N,I} T_{N,I}}$$

NOTE: Temperature at nitrogen interface $T_{N,I}$ will change the require \dot{m}_N and needs to be taken into account . Also $\rho_{F,I}$ may vary slightly For $T_{N,I}$ = 40 F (277 K) $\rightarrow \dot{m}_N$ = 0.027 $\frac{kg}{s}$ For $T_{N,I}$ = 100 F (311 K) $\rightarrow \dot{m}_N$ = 0.024 $\frac{kg}{s}$ $\dot{m}_F = 0.88 \, lbm/s \, (0.4 \, \frac{kg}{s})$ $\rho_{F=} 810 \ kg/m^3$ $P_{N,I} = 650 \ psi \ (4.48 \ Mpa)$ $T_{N,I} = 75^{\circ}F (297 K)$ $R_{N,I} = (296.8 \frac{J}{Kg - K})$ $\dot{m}_N = \frac{(0.4)(4.48E6)}{(810)(296.8)(297)}$ $\dot{m}_N = 0.551 \ lbm/s (0.025 \ kg/s)$



USCViterbi

Dual Engine Nitrogen Mass Flow Rate to SCFM

$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{P}{P_{atm}} \frac{T_{atm}}{T} \frac{RT}{P}$$
$$SCFM = \dot{m} \frac{T_{atm}}{P_{atm}} \frac{R}{P}$$

 $\dot{m}_{N} = 0.551 \ ^{lbm}/_{s} (0.025 \ ^{kg}/_{s})$ $0.0218(\frac{m^{3}}{s}) = (0.025)\frac{^{kg}}{s}(298)K(296.8)\frac{J}{Kg-K}(\frac{1}{1.01E5})\frac{1}{Pa}$ $SCFM_{N2} = (0.0218)\frac{m^{3}}{s}(\frac{1^{3}}{0.3048^{3}})\frac{ft^{3}}{m^{3}}(\frac{60}{1})\frac{s}{min}$

Note: Don't forget about units!

(SCFM is in English units)

Note: Variations in *T* for the Nitrogen DOES effect the overall SCFM because it changes the required \dot{m}_N . (desert has hot summers and cold winters) For $T_{atm} = 40 \text{ F} (277 \text{ K}) \rightarrow \dot{m}_N = 0.027 \frac{kg}{s} \rightarrow 50 \text{ SCFM}_{N2}$ $T_{atm} = 100 \text{ F} (311 \text{ K}) \rightarrow \dot{m}_N = 0.024 \frac{kg}{s} \rightarrow 45 \text{ SCFM}_{N2}$ $SCFM_{N2} = 46$



USCViterbi

Dual Engine Nitrogen Regulator Set Pressure

Desired Regulator Outlet Pressure – 650 psi (20% pressure drop over injector and 50 psi estimated line loss)

Setting the regulator to 1,000 psi would result in a pressure drop of about 200 psi at 46 SCFM Therefore, if we want an outlet pressure of 650 psi we should set the regulator to around 900 psi.



Inlet Pressure

USCViterbi

J 500 psig (34.4 bar) L 1000 psig (68.9 bar)

- **R** 3600 psig (248 bar)
- W 6000 psig (413 bar)

Jessie & James Operating Condition Summary

Dual Engine Operating Conditions

$\dot{M}_{TOT} = 0.575 \ kg$	OF = 1.875
Fuel	OX
Injector $\%P_d = 20 \%$	Injector $\%P_d = 20 \%$
<i>Cylinder Pressure</i> = 2600 <i>psi</i>	<i>Cylinder Pressure = 2600 psi</i>
P _{regulate} = 900 psi	P _{regulate} = 900 psi
P_{supply} = 650 psi	P_{supply} = 650 psi
$P_{injector} = 600 psi$	$P_{injector} = 600 psi$
$P_{chamber} = 500 \ psi$	$P_{chamber} = 500 \ psi$



USCViterbi

J&J DESIGN & Analysis

J&J DESIGN & Analysis GUI Tool

Jessie & James Engine Design & Anaylsis Tool



Injector Sizing					
Injector Fuel					
Injector Fuel Pressure Drop [Decimal]					
Cd 0.7	# of Holes		32	2	
Film Cooling					
% of Mass Flow Tapped Off (enter 0 if none)	15				
# of Holes	10				
Cd	0.7				
Injector Oxygen					
Injector Fuel Pressure Drop [Decimal]			20		
Cd 0.7		# of Ho	los	16	
Temperature Injector	290		Kelvin		
Mdot_Total = 2.5 [lbm/s] Mdot_Fuel_inj = 0.75 [lbm/s] Mdot_OX = 1.65 [lbm/s] Mdot_Film = 0.13 [lbm/s] Chamber Pressure = 1000.0 [Psi] English Units					
 # Holes = 32.0 Mdot Fuel inj = 0.7 [lbm/s] Injection Pressure = 1200.0 [Psi] Total Area = 0.0159 [inch^2] Area/Hole = 0.0005 [inch^2] Hole Radius = 0.0126 [inch] Hole Diameter = 0.0252 [inch] Film Area/Hole = 0.0003 [inch^2] Film Hole Radius = 0.0095 [inch] Film Hole Radius = 0.0095 [inch] Film Hole Radius = 0.0095 [inch] Film Hole Radius = 0.0189 [inch] 				16.0 .7 [lbm/s] = 1200.0 [Psi] 954 [inch^2] 060 [inch^2] 0.0436 [inch] 0.0871 [inch]	





School of Engineering

J&J DESIGN & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing



School of Engineering

Single Engine Design Point

Design Point J&J	Thermochemistry		
$\dot{M}_{TOT} = 1.15 \ kg/s$	From NASA CEA		
OF ratio= 1.875	Chemistry: Kerosene/Gaseous Oxygen (GOX)		
$P_c = 6.895 MPa, (1000 psi, 69 bars)$	$T_c = 3266 K$, (5418 °F)		
$P_e = 101352.9 Pa (14.7 psi, 1.01325 bars)$	$\overline{M} = 20.05 \ kg/kmol$		
$L^* = 1.27 m$, (50 inches)	$\gamma = 1.187$		



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Propellant Mass Flow Rates

$$\frac{m_o}{\dot{m}_F} = 1.875$$

$$\dot{m}_o + \dot{m}_F = 1.15 \ kg/s$$

$$\dot{m}_F = 1.15 \ - \dot{m}_o \frac{\dot{m}_o}{1.15 - \dot{m}_o} \ 1.875$$

$$\dot{m}_o = 1.875(\ 1.15 \ - \dot{m}_o)$$

 $\dot{m}_F = 0.4 \text{ kg/s}$ $\dot{m}_o = 0.75 \text{ kg/s}$

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Throat Area

$$A^{*} = \frac{\dot{M}_{TOT}}{P_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{T_{0}R}{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{2(\gamma - 1)}}}$$
$$A^{*} = \frac{1.15}{6.895 \, MPa} \sqrt{\frac{(3265.5)(414.66)}{1.187} \left(1 + \frac{1.187 - 1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1.187 + 1}{2(1.187 - 1)}}}$$

 $A^* = 300.4 \ mm^2$, (0.466 $inch^2$)



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Throat Diameter

$$D^* = 2\left(\frac{A^*}{\pi}\right)^{0.5}$$
$$D^* = (2)\left(\frac{3E-4}{\pi}\right)^{0.5}$$

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Exit Mach Number



 $M_e = 3.178$



D^{*} = 0.0195 m (0.770 inch)
J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Exit to Throat Area Ratio

$$\frac{A_e}{A^*} = \frac{1}{M} \left[\frac{2}{\gamma+1} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} M^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2(\gamma-1)}}$$

$$\frac{A_e}{A^*} = \frac{1}{3.178} \left[\frac{2}{1.187 + 1} \left(1 + \frac{1.187 - 1}{2} \left(3.178 \right)^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1.187 + 1}{2(1.187 - 1)}}$$

School of Engineering

Exit Velocity

$$u_e = \sqrt{2\frac{\bar{R}\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\frac{T_0}{M}\left[1 - \left(\frac{p_e}{p_0}\right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}\right]}$$

$$u_e = \sqrt{2 \frac{(8314)(1.187)}{1.187 - 1} \frac{3265.5}{20.05} \left[1 - \left(\frac{101352.9}{6.895 \, MPa}\right)^{\frac{1.187 - 1}{1.187}}\right]}$$

$$\frac{A_e}{A^*} = 9.1041$$

 $u_e = 2889.31 \, m/s$, (6464.8 mph)



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Specific Impulse

$$Isp = \frac{u_{eq}}{g}$$
$$Isp = \frac{2889.311}{9.8}$$

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Thrust

 $F_T = \dot{m}u_e + A_e(p_e - p_a)$

 $F_T = (1.15)(2889.31) + 0.0027(101352.9 - 6.895 * 10^6)$

$$Isp = 294.5 sec$$
 $F_T = 3.32 \text{ kN} (747 \text{ lbf})$



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Chamber Volume

 $V_{ch} = L^* A^*$

 $V_{ch} = (1.27)(3.004 * 10^{-4})$

 $V_{ch} = 381.5 \ cm^3$, (23.28 inch³)

	Characteristic Length (L*)		
Propellants	Low (m)	High (m)	
Liquid fluorine / hydrazine	0.61	0.71	
Liquid fluorine / gaseous H ₂	0.56	0.66	
Liquid fluorine / liquid H ₂	0.64	0.76	
Nitric acid / hydrazine	0.76	0.89	
N2O4 / hydrazine	0.60	0.89	
Liquid O ₂ / ammonia	0.76	1.02	
Liquid O ₂ / gaseous H ₂	0.56	0.71	
Liquid O ₂ / liquid H ₂	0.76	1.02	
Liquid O ₂ / RP-1	1.02	1.27	
H ₂ O ₂ / RP-1 (including catalyst)	1.52	1.78	

How to determine characteristic length



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Chamber Length

 $A_{t} = 3E - 4 m^{2}, (0.466 inch^{2})$ $D_{t} = 1.96 cm, (0.77 inch)$ $\frac{A_{c}}{A_{t}} = 8D_{t}^{-0.6} + 1.25$ $\frac{A_{c}}{A_{t}} = (8)1.96^{-0.6} + 1.25$ $\frac{A_{c}}{A_{t}} = 6.59$ $A_{c} = 0.002 m^{2}, (3.10 inch^{2})$ $L_{c} = \frac{V_{c}}{A_{c}}$ $L_{c} = \frac{3.815 E - 4 m^{3}}{0.002 m^{2}}$ $L_{c} = 0.19 m (7.51 inch)$

Use as a starting point. Ended with:

 $L_C = 0.17 m (6.58 inch)$ $D_C = 54 mm (2.125 inch)$





J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine Nozzle Length (Conical) **USC**Viterbi

School of Engineering



Diagram is for a parabola shaped nozzle. J&J used this diagram for sizing the converging & diverging part of the nozzle



 $L_n = \frac{D_e - D_t}{2tan\theta_{cn}}$ Where L_n =conical nozzle length D_t =nozzle throat diameter θ_{cn} = nozzle cone half angle (15°) $L_n = \frac{0.059 - 0.02}{2tan(15°)}$

 $L_n = 2.87 in (72.8 mm)$

J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Single Engine

Chamber Wall Thickness

 $t_w = \frac{FSp_c r_c}{\sigma_v}$

Where t_w = wall thickness

 r_c = Chamber Radius 0.027 m(1.5 inch) p_c = chamber pressure = 6.895 Mpa (1000 psi) σ_v = Yield Strength = 1000 Mpa (145 ksi)

FS = Safety Factor



tw = 4.06mm (0.160 inch) [@ chamber wall] FS = 15.5 t_{w pressure channel} = 1.32 mm (0.052 inch) [@ injector pressure port wall] FS = 5 t_{w logo} = 1.04 mm (0.041inch) [on wall where chamber pressure channel crosses over logo] FS = 4



J&J Design & Analysis Engine & Injector Sizing Summary of Engine Specifications



School of Engineering

Single Engine Static Fire

Propellant	Kerosene	Gaseous Oxygen		
OF ratio	1.875			
М _{ТОТ}	1.15 kg/s	2.5 <i>lbm/s</i>		
P _c	6.895 MPa	1000 psi		
P _e	101352.9 Pa	14.7 psi		
L *	1.27 m	50 inches		
D *	19.6 mm	0.770 inch		
T _c	3266 K	5418 °F		
A *	0.3004 mm ²	0.466 inch ²		
A/A^*	9.1041			
Isp	294.5 <i>s</i>			
F _T	3.32 kN	750 <i>lbf</i>		
V _{ch}	381.5 cm ³	23.286 inch ³		
L _c	0.17 <i>m</i>	6.58 inch		
D _c	54 <i>mm</i>	2.125 inch		
L _n	72.8 mm	2.87 inch		
T_w	3.81 mm 0.15 inch			



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Summary of Engine Specifications Dual Engine Static Fire

Propellant	Kerosene	Gaseous Oxygen		
OF ratio	1.875			
М _{ТОТ}	0.575 kg/s	1.3 <i>lbm/s</i>		
P _c	3.45 MPa	500 psi		
P _e	101320 Pa	14.7 psi		
L^*	1.27 m	50 inches		
A *	$0.300 \ mm^2$	0.465 inch ²		
D *	19.6 mm	0.770 inch		
T _c	3222 K	5340 °F		
A/A^*	5.4902			
Isp	275.9 <i>s</i>			
F _T	1.56 <i>kN</i>	350 <i>lbf</i>		
V _{ch}	338.18 cm ³	23.286 inch ³		
L _c	0.16 m	6.283 inch		
D _c	54 <i>mm</i>	2.125 inch		
L_n	49 mm	1.93 inch		
T _w	3.81 mm 0.15 inch			





School of Engineering

J&J DESIGN & Analysis Top Level Engine Design





J&J Design & Analysis Overall Engine Design J&J Overview

The design of Jessie & James is identical besides for the pressure block & injector which is rotated by 180°

This simplifies the routing of feed & sensor lines

USCViterbi

School of Engineering



J&J Design & Analysis Overall Engine Design J&J Overview

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Engine Components

Each engine will be made up of the following components:

- Injector 1.
- Chamber
- Nozzle Retention Ring 1/2
- Nozzle Retention Ring 2/2 4.
- Chamber Ablative Insert (Graphite)
- 6 Ablative Nozzle (Graphite)
- 1 Dash 153 O-ring
- 2 Dash 337 O-rings
- **9.** 16¹/₄"-28 5/8" screws





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Oxygen Inlet - SS-1210-1-OR ¾" Tube OD X 1 1/16-12 Male-O-Seal SAE/MS Straight Thread Fuel Inlet - SS-810-1-OR ½" Tube OD x ¾-16 Male O-Seal SAE/MS Straight Thread Film Cooling Inlet- SS-400-1-OR ¼" Tube OD x 7/16-20 Male O-Seal SAE/MS Straight Thread Sensor Ports - SS-200-1-OR 1/8" Tube OD x 5/16-24 Male O-Seal SAE/MS Straight Thread



Chamber Pressure Sensors

J&J will have 3 pressure sensors that are equally spaced around the circumference of the combustion chamber.

The 3 pressure sensor ports are routed to the pressure senor block

Sensor Ports - SS-200-1-OR 1/8″ Tube OD x 5/16-24 Male O-Seal SAE/MS Straight Thread **USC**Viterbi

School of Engineering



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Swap Nozzle for Different Operating Conditions

The Nozzle geometry is a function of the chamber pressure & total mass flow rate

J&J design allows you to swap different nozzles by removing retention ring.



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Material data sheet EOS Maraging Steel MS1

Mechanical properties of parts at 20 °C (68 °F) As Built

Tensile strength

- in horizontal direction (XY)
- in vertical direction (Z)

Yield strength (Rp 0.2 %)

- in horizontal direction (XY)
- in vertical direction (Z)

typ. 1100 ± 100 MPa (160 ± 15 ksi) typ. 1100 ± 100 MPa (160 ± 15 ksi)

typ. 1050 ± 100 MPa (typ. 152 ± 15 ksi) typ. 1000 ± 100 MPa (145 ± 15 ksi)

Modulus of elasticity

- in horizontal direction (XY)
- in vertical direction (Z)

typ. 160 ± 25 GPa (23 ± 4 Msi) typ. 150 ± 20 GPa (22 ± 3 Msi)

Coefficient of thermal expansion

typ. 15 ± 0.8 W/m°C (104 ± 6 Btu in/(h ftÇ °F)





School of Engineering

J&J DESIGN & Analysis Injector Design

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

- Both Jessie & James will feature the same injector design and will be used for both single and dual engine static fires
- The injector has been sized for dual engine testing conditions with a 20% pressure drop seen for the fuel, oxygen, and film cooling orifices
- For single engine firing conditions, the oxygen orifices will maintain a 20% pressure drop while the pressure drop for the fuel & film cooling orifices will be 40%





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

3D printing Considerations

- Since this injector will be 3D printed, any overhangs more than ~45 degrees require a support structure of some sort. This can be designed in, or formed in the pre-3D printing software. In this case, a support "tree" has been designed in to reduce the mass of the printed part.
- Other overhangs are kept below 45 degrees,
 such as internal manifolds
- Threaded holes have also been opted for in the engine flange to eliminate the need for postmachining of the flange support structure (to allow room for a bolted joint)





人



School of Engineering





Chamber PT channel



Orifice Arrangement

Film Cooling Oxygen Fuel • The orifice arrangement is similar to the Blue Steel 2.0 Injector (machined)

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

- Oxygen Orifices form a shower-head in two concentric rings
- Fuel orifices are arranged in like doublets, impinging at 30 degrees from axial. They also form two concentric rings and lie on radials that intersect the oxygen orifices.
- Spray from impinging pairs tends to lie in the plane perpendicular to the plane formed by the impinging jets. These are radial planes (in this case) and so better mixing should be achieved by lining orifices up in the aforementioned manner.



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Fuel Injector Sizing (Based on Dual Engine Conditions)

$$A_f = \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{c_d}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2\rho}\right) \frac{1}{\left(P_{i,f} - P_c\right)}}$$

Where

 $\rho = 810 \frac{kg}{m^3}$ $c_d = 0.7 \text{ (square edge orifice)}$ $A_f = injector \text{ orifice total area}$ $P_c = 3.447\text{E6 Pa (500 psi)}$ Pressure Drop 20% $P_{inj,f} = 4.137E6 \text{ (600 } psi)$ $\dot{m}_f = 0.17 \frac{kg}{s} \text{ (15\% tapped off for } \dot{m}_{film})$ # of holes = 32

$$A_{f} = \left(\frac{0.17}{0.7}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2(810)}\right) \frac{1}{(4.137E6 - 3.447E6)}}$$
$$A_{f} = 7.26E - 6 m^{2}$$
$$A_{i,f} = \frac{A_{f}}{\# \ of \ holes}$$
$$A_{i,f} = \frac{7.26E - 6}{32}$$

$$A_{i,f} = 2.27E - 7m^2$$
$$D_f = 2\left(\frac{2.27E - 7}{\pi}\right)^{0.5}$$

 $D_f = 0.538 \, mm$ (0.021 inch)



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Film Orifice Sizing (Based on Dual Engine Conditions)

$$A_{film} = \left(\frac{\dot{m}_{film}}{c_d}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2\rho}\right)\frac{1}{\left(P_{i,film} - P_c\right)}}$$

Where

 $\rho = 810 \frac{kg}{m^3}$ $c_d = 0.7 \text{ (square edge orifice)}$ $A_{film} = injector \text{ orifice total area}$ $P_c = 3.447E6 \text{ Pa (500 psi)}$ Pressure Drop 20% $P_{inj,film} = 4.137E6 \text{ (600 psi)}$ $\dot{m}_{film} = 0.03 \frac{kg}{s} \text{ (15\% tapped off from } \dot{m}_f)$ # of holes = 10

$$A_{film} = \left(\frac{0.03}{0.7}\right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2(810)}\right) \frac{1}{(4.137E6 - 3.447E6)}}$$
$$A_{film} = 1.28E - 6 m^{2}$$
$$A_{i,film} = \frac{A_{f}}{\# of holes}$$
$$A_{i,film} = \frac{1.28E - 6}{10}$$

$$A_{i,film} = 1.28E - 7m^2$$
$$D_{film} = 2\left(\frac{1.28E - 7}{\pi}\right)^{0.5}$$

 $D_{film} = 0.404 \ mm$ (0.0159 inch)



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Oxygen Injector Sizing (Based on Dual Engine Conditions)

$$A_o = \left(\frac{\dot{m_o}}{c_d}\right) \sqrt{\frac{R_0 T_0}{2P i_{,0} \left(P_{i,o} - P_c\right)}}$$

Where

 $R_0 = 259.8 \frac{J}{Ka-K}$ $T_0 = 290 K$ $c_d = 0.7$ (square edge orifice) $A_o = injector \ orifice \ total \ area$ $P_c = 3.447 \text{E6} \text{ Pa} (500 \text{ psi})$ Pressure Drop 20% $P_{inj,o} = 4.137E6 \ (600 \ psi)$ $\dot{m}_o = 0.375 \ \frac{kg}{s}$ *# of holes* = 16

$$A_{o} = \left(\frac{0.375}{0.7}\right) \sqrt{\frac{(259.8)(290)}{2(4.137E6)(4.137E6 - 3.447E6)}}$$

$$A_{o} = 6.155E - 5 m^{2}$$

$$A_{i,o} = \frac{A_{o}}{\# of holes}$$

$$A_{i,o} = \frac{6.155E - 5}{16}$$

$$A_{i,o} = 3.85E - 6m^{2}$$

$$D_{o} = 2\left(\frac{3.85E - 6}{\pi}\right)^{0.5}$$

 $D_o = 2.21 \, mm$ (0.087 inch)





School of Engineering

J&J DESIGN & Analysis Thermal Control



School of Engineering

Cooling on Jessie & James

- Jessie & James will feature both ablative & film cooling
- The engine chamber will be lined with isomolded graphite
- Nozzle will be constructed with isomolded graphite
 - Isomolded graphite has a much lower ablation rate then phenolic (nozzle material for nozzle Blue Steel 2.0)
- 15% of the full will be tapped off to cool the injector



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Graphite Chamber Liner & Nozzle

An Isomolded Graphite will be used to thermally control both the combustion chamber and nozzle

The combustion chamber will be lined with graphite and the nozzle will be fabricated out of graphite

An alignment feature and pathways will be machined into the chamber line to allow for chamber pressure transducers and for the torch ignitor to operate

Isomolded Graphite

All graphite will be machined out of one 3.00" DIA X 24" L Rod This rod is large enough to machine all components

Jessie Graphite Parts 1 - Chamber Liner 1 - 1000 psi optimum expansion nozzle 1 - 500 psi optimum expansion nozzle James Graphite Parts 1 - Chamber Liner 1 - 1000 psi optimum expansion nozzle 1 - 500 psi optimum expansion nozzle



School of Engineering





School of Engineering

Material data Isomolded Graphite

Property	SI Units	English
Young's modulus *	7~8 GPa	1.015Mpsi ~1.16Mpsi
Compressive strength *	8963 Pa	13000 psi*
Density	0.065 <i>lb/in</i> ³	1.81 gr/cm ³
Flexural Strength	7250 psi	50 MPa
Thermal Conductivity	85 W/(m ² .K/m)	49 BTU/(h.ft ² °F/ft)
CTE	4.6 Microns/m°C	2.6 in/in°F×10 ⁻⁶

* Provided by the engineer at Graphite Store as an estimate (This has not been tested & therefore is not in datasheet)



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Insulation Thickness

 $t_{insul} = t_{exp} \dot{e} f_s$ Where t_{insul} = insulation thickness (m) t_{exp} = insulation exposure time (s) \dot{e} = insulation erosion rate (m/s) f_s = safety factor $t_{insul} = (25)s(0.10)\frac{mm}{s}$ (4) $t_{insul} = 10 \text{ mm (0.39 inch)}$ $t_{chamber liner} = 11.11 mm (0.4375 inch)$

> Larger due to uncertainty about graphite material properties & to allow for a larger $\frac{A_c}{A_t}$ and longer max turn times in future modifications

		1			
Material	ρ kg/m ³	c _p cal/gm⋅K	κ W/m∙K	F _{tu} MPa	ė* mm/s
Pyrolytic Graphite	2200	0.50	0.059	103	0.05
Polycrystalline Graphite	1700	0.60	26.0	48	0.10
2-D Carbon/Carbon	1400	0.54	13.8	110	-
3-D Carbon/Carbon	1900	0.50	31.5	186	0.10
Carbon/Phenolic	1400	0.36	1,00	72.4	0.18
Graphite/Phenolic	1400	0.39	1.59	52.4	0.28
Silica/Phenolic	1700	0.30	0.55	52.4	1.3
Glass/Phenolic	1900	0.22	0.028	414	1.5
Paper/Phenolic	1200	0.37	0.40	152	1.9
ρ = Material density		*Reference	data:		
C_p = Material specific heat		p_c = chamber pressure = 6.9 MPa			
κ = Material thermal condu	uctivity	$D_t = $ throat d	liameter = 0.	3 m	
$F_{tu} = Ultimate tensile strengt$	th	$T_c = \text{combus}$	stion tempera	ature = 3030	к
é ≈erosion rate		Note that values are scaled using correlations for convective heat transfer (see Heister [1990]).			

This provides enough confidence to fire for a short duration & use test results to estimate a more accurate erosion rate



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Ablative Cooling Energy Conservation

Thrust-chamber flow $(\dot{Q}_{rad} + \dot{Q}_{con}) = \dot{m}_{ablative} h_{ablative}$ Q_{con} **Q**_{rad} Where: Control volume Charred \dot{Q}_{rad} = heat addition due to radiation (W) , mablative, hablative ablative material \dot{Q}_{con} = heat addition due to convection (W) Ablative $\dot{m}_{ablative}$ = the mass flow of the ablative material (kg/s) material $h_{ablative}$ = enthalpy of material ablation (J/kg) Thrust-chamber wall

Note: Need to know $\varepsilon_{flame} \& h_{ablative}$. Looking into this. Can estimate $h_{ablative}$ after a static fire by measuring the amount of material that has ablated.



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Film Cooling Energy Conservation

$$(\dot{Q}_{rad} + \dot{Q}_{con})_{in} = \dot{Q}_{wall} + \dot{m}_{film} \left(\int_{T_{vap}}^{T_{out}} c_p dT + h_{fg} + c[T_{vap} - T_{in}] \right)$$

 \dot{Q}_{rad} = heat addition due to radiation (W)

 \dot{Q}_{con} = heat addition due to convection (W)

 c_p = heat capacity of vaporized gases at constant pressure (J/kg.K)

C= heat capacity of the prevaporized fluid (J/kg.K)

 h_{fg} = heat of vaporization of the fluid (J/kg)

 \dot{Q}_{wall} = heat flow into the wall (W)

 \dot{m}_{film} = mass-flow rate of the wall coolant (kg/s)

 T_{in} = temperature of the coolant entering the control volume (K)

 T_{out} = temperature of the vaporized coolant leaving the control volume (K)



Post CDR, more research will be done to in this area



J&J DESIGN & Analysis Engine Interfaces

J&J Design & Analysis Engine Interfaces

Mount Interface

Mount Interface:

4

This is mount the engine to the slide rail featured on Hydra

USCViterbi School of Engineering 0 **Mount Interface** 0 0 0 \bigcirc
J&J Design & Analysis Engine Interfaces

Ignitor Interface

4

USCViterbi

A

School of Engineering

The ignitor interface will secure and seal the ignitor onto the engine

J&J Design & Analysis Engine Interfaces

Milk Stool Interface

The injector houses the 3 ¹/4"-28 mounting points for the milk stool

The milk stool is strut design that will bypass all propellant inlet lines and make contact with a load cell to provide thrust measurements

Mounting Point 1





J&J DESIGN & Analysis Fasteners & Sealing

Injector Flange

J&J Fasteners

J&J will feature a total of 16 ¹/₄-28 5/8" fully threaded socket head screws

The first set of 8 will secure the injector to the chamber and the second set of 8 will secure the nozzle to the chamber with a 3D printed retention ring.

Nozzle Retention Ring Flange

USCViterbi

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

J&J Fasteners & Washer Material Properties

Screws

Used for both retention ring and injector side Type: ¹⁄₄-28

Length: $\frac{3''}{4}$ Material: 18-8 Stainless Steel (fully threaded socket head screw) Tensile Area: 0.03640" Ultimate Strength: 70 ksi Young's Modulus: 28500 ksi



Lock washers

Used for both retention ring and injector side

18-8 Stainless Steel Mil. Spec. Split Lock Washer

ID: 0.260"

OD: 0.487"

Thickness: 0.062"

Ultimate Strength: 73.2 ksi

Young's Modulus: 28500 ksi

Yield Strength: 31.2 ksi





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

J&J Fasteners & Retention Ring Material Properties

Retention Ring, Injector, Chamber Material: EOS Maraging Steel MS1 Yield Strength: 145 ksi (1000 MPa) (in Z direction – lower then the XY direction) Modulus of Elasticity: 22 Msi (150 Gpa) (in Z direction – lower then the XY direction) Keensert (Lightweight Insert) Only Using on Retention Ring Side Non-locking (Part # KN428J) Internal Thread Class 3B ¹/4-28 Material: 303 CRES (passivated) External Thread: 3/8 – 16 Shear Engagement: 0.2371"



Lightweight





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Screw Preload Force

Preload Force = $0.75 (\sigma_{proof})A_t$

Where $A_t = Tensile Area$

(0.75 is coefficient used for reusable screws) $Preload \ Force = 0.75 \ (80 \ ksi) 0.0364 in^2$

Screw Torque Equation

 $T = K_t F_i D$

Where : T= torque (in-lb, ft-lb, or N-m)

K_t= torque coefficient (**0.15 lubed**)

 F_i = Initial preload Force in the bolt

D= nominal diameter of bolt

T = (0.15)(2184)lbf(0.25)in

Preload Force = 2184 lbf (9.610 kN)

Torque Preload = 81.9 in-lbf (9.25 N-m)

For screws on both the injector and nozzle side



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Screw Stiffness

 $K_{screw} = \frac{A_t E_{screw}}{L_{joint}}$ (Fully Threaded screw) K_{screw}

Joint Stiffness

$$X_{joint} = \frac{\pi E_{joint} d_{shank}}{2ln\left(5\left(\frac{L_{joint} + 0.5d_{shank}}{L_{joint} + 2.5d_{shank}}\right)\right)}$$

 $E_{bolt} = 28500 \text{ ksi}$ $L_{joint} = 0.35 \text{ inch}$ $A_t = 0.0364in^2$ $K_{screw} = \frac{0.0364in^2 28500 \text{ ksi}}{0.35 \text{ inch}}$ $K_{screw} = 2.964 \text{ Msi}$

 $E_{joint} = 22000$ ksi (entirely marging steel) $d_{shank} = 0.281$ inch

$$K_{joint} = \frac{\pi (22000E3)(0.281)}{2ln \left(5 \left(\frac{0.35 + (0.5)(0.281)}{0.35 + (2.5)(0.281)} \right) \right)}$$

 $K_{joint} = 11.5$ Msi



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Joint coefficient of screw-load factor, C

 $C = \frac{k_{screw}}{k_{screw} + k_{joint}}$ $C = \frac{2.964 \, Msi}{2.964 \, Msi + 11.5 \, Msi}$ C = 0.20

The required minimum preload to prevent gapping is then

 $F_i = P(1 - C)$

Factor of Safety against gapping

$$FS_{gap} = \frac{F_i}{P(1-C)}$$

Where P = load

Now need to determine the load on the joint for both ends of the engine...



J&J Design & Analysis Fasteners & Sealing Fastening - Retention Ring Side

Determining Load on Fasteners

 $P_{Retention Ring} = (P_0)(A_c - A_t)$ $P_{Retention Ring} = (1000 \, psi)(3.55in^2 - 0.466in^2)$

$P_{Retention Ring} = 3084 \, \text{lbf}$

$$P_{screw} = \frac{P_{Retention Ring}}{\# of Screws}$$

of Screws = 8
$$P_{screw} = \frac{3084 \text{ lbf}}{8}$$
$$P_{screw} = 385.5 \text{ lbf}$$

USCViterbi





J&J Design & Analysis Fasteners & Sealing Fastening - Retention Ring Side

$$\sigma_{screw} = \frac{P_{screw}}{Tensile \ Area}$$
$$\sigma_{screw} = \frac{385.5 \ lbf}{0.0364 in^2}$$

 $\sigma_{screw} =$ 10.6 ksi

$$FS = \frac{\sigma_{ult}}{\sigma_{screw}}$$
$$FS = \frac{80 \ ksi}{10.6 \ ksi}$$
$$FS = 7.55$$

Failure in tension is NOT predicted!

The required minimum preload to prevent gapping is then $F_{i,min} = P(1 - C) = 385.5 \ lbf(1-0.20)$ $F_{i,min} = 308 \ lbf$ **Preload Force = 2184 \ lbf**





USCViterbi

J&J Design & Analysis Fasteners & Sealing Fastening - Injector Side

Determining Load on Fasteners $P_{Injector} = (P_0)(A_c)$ $P_{Injector} = (1000 \ psi)(3.55 \ in^2)$ $P_{Injector} = 3550 \ lbf$ $\# \ of \ Screws = 8$ $P_{screw} = \frac{3550 \ lbf}{8}$ $P_{screw} = 444 \ lbf$

USCViterbi



J&J Design & Analysis Fasteners & Sealing Fastening – Injector Side

$$\sigma_{screw} = \frac{P_{screw}}{Tensile \ Area}$$
$$\sigma_{screw} = \frac{444 \ lbf}{0.0364 in^2}$$

 $\sigma_{screw} =$ 12.2 ksi

$$FS = \frac{80 \text{ ksi}}{12.2 \text{ ksi}}$$
$$FS = 6.56$$

Failure in tension is NOT predicted!

The required minimum preload to prevent gapping is then $F_{i,min} = P(1 - C) = 444 \ lbf(1-0.20)$ $F_{i,min} = 355 \ lbf$ **Preload Force = 2184 \ lbf**

Factor of Safety against gapping $FS_{gap} = \frac{F_i}{P(1-C)} = \frac{2184 \, lbf}{444 \, lbf(1-0.20)}$ $FS_{gap} = 6.15$ Gapping is NOT predicted!



USCViterbi

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Checking Minimum Length of Engagement on Retention Ring Side, Not using Keenserts here

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimum Screw Length of Engagement} \\ L_{e,min} &= \frac{(2)A_t}{K_n \max \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + 0.5775n(E_s min - K_n \max \right) \\ 2(0.0364)} \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{e,min} &= \frac{2(0.0364)}{(0.1857)\pi (\frac{1}{2} + 0.5775(28)(0.1904 - 0.1857))} \end{aligned}$$

 $L_{e,min} = 0.217$ inch

Since different materials need to get the J value

$$J = \frac{A_s \sigma_{ult,ext}}{A_n \sigma_{ult,int}}$$

 A_s = Shear area of external thread (screw) A_n = Shear area of internal thread (hole)

If J > 1 then the minimum length of engagement needs to be extended to:

 $L_{e,min\,new} = J x L_{e,min\,org}$

$$A_{s} = \pi n L_{e,min} k_{n} \max(\frac{1}{2n} + 0.57735(E_{s} \min - k_{n} \max))$$

$$k_{n} \max = Maximum \ minor \ diameter \ of \ internal \ thread$$

$$E_{s} \min = Minimum \ pitch \ diameter \ of \ external \ thread$$

$$n = number \ of \ threads \ per \ inch$$

$$A_{s} = \pi \ (28) \ (0.217)(0.1857)(\frac{1}{2(28)} + 0.57735(0.1904 - 0.1857 \))$$

$$A_{c} = 0.073 \ in^{2}$$

$$A_{n} = \pi n L_{e,min} D_{s} \min(\frac{1}{2n} + 0.57735(D_{s} \min - E_{n} \max))$$

$$E_{n} \max = Maximum \ pitch \ diameter \ of \ internal \ thread$$

$$D_{s} \min = Minimum \ major \ diameter \ of \ external \ thread$$

$$A_{n} = \pi \ (28)(0.217)(0.2095)(\frac{1}{2(28)} + 0.57735(0.2095 - 0.1959))$$

$$A_{n} = 0.103 \ inch^{2}$$



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Checking Minimum Length of Engagement on Retention Ring Side, Not using Keenserts here

Minimum Screw Length of Engagement cont.

Our length of Engagement On Retention Ring Side:

Since different materials need to get the J value

 $J = \frac{(0.073 in^2)(70 ksi)}{(0.103 in^2)(145ksi)}$

J = 0.34

Since J < 1:

 $L_{e,min} = 0.217$ inch

Length of Thread Engagment $L_e = L_{screw} - (t_{retention_{ring}} - d_{counter \ bore}) - t_{washer}$ $L_e = 0.75" - (0.75" - 0.4") - 0.062"$ $L_e = 0.338"$

On retention ring side both internal and external threads are NOT predicted to fail!



Using Keenserts on Injector Side

Insert Internal Thread Failure Check $A_{s} = \frac{3\pi L_{e} D_{major,ext}}{4}$ Where $A_{s} = Thread$ Shear Area $L_{e} = Length$ of Thread Engagment $D_{major,ext} = Major$ Diameter of the mating external thread

$$A_s = \frac{3\pi (0.338)(0.375)}{4}$$
$$A_s = 0.30 \ in^2$$

Insert Internal Thread Failure Check

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

 $P_{ult} = 12370 \ lb \ (\text{MS51830E-202L})$ $FS_{shear \ thread \ failure} = \frac{P_{ult}}{P_{joint}}$

For Injector Side $FS_{shear thread failure} = \frac{12370 \, lbf}{444 \, lbf}$ FS_{shear thread failure} =27.9

Insert Internal Thread Failure is NOT predicted!



Using Keenserts on Injector Side

Insert External Thread Failure Check

 $P_{ult} = 8630 \ lb \ (MS_{51}8_{30}E_{-202}L)$ $A_s = 0.30 \ in^2$

For injector side

 $FS_{shear \ thread \ failure} = \frac{8630 \ lbf}{444 \ lbf}$

FS_{shear thread failure} =19.4

Insert External Thread Failure is NOT predicted!

Insert Parent Material Thread Failure Check $P_{ult} = (0.103 in^2)(145ksi)$ $P_{ult} = 14,935$ lbf

For injector side $FS_{shear thread failure} = \frac{14935 \, lbf}{444 \, lbf}$ FS_{shear thread failure} =33.6

Insert Parent Material Thread Failure is NOT predicted!



USCViterbi





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

2 Female Gland Piston Seals





Sealing Features

Nozzle & Chamber interface

Will feature two female gland piston seals

Two for redundant purposes, fine surface finish on nozzle may be hard to achieve

Multiple nozzles will be printed during Jessie & James lifetime, so O-ring groove has been placed on chamber side (less machining)

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Sealing Features Ignitor & Chamber interface

Will Feature a Face Seal

Because it is more likely to print future iterations of the ignitor, the O-ring groove has been placed on the chamber side (less machining labor)





USCViterbi

Face Seal

School of Engineering

Sealing Features

Injector & Chamber interface

Will Feature a Face Seal

Because it is more likely to print future iterations of the injector, the O-ring groove has been placed on the chamber side (less machining)

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Injector-Chamber

Face Seal O-ring Dash Number 153 Qty: 1 Size: 3/32" Material : Viton



Nozzle-Chamber

Piston Seal O-rings Dash Number 337

Qty: 2

Size: 3/16"

Material Viton



Ignitor-Chamber Face Seal O-ring Dash Number 115 Qty: 1 Size: 3/32" Material : Viton





USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Installing the Chamber insert

The chamber insert will be fabricated to have a slightly undersized outer diameter with respect to the combustion chamber inner diameter

This will provide ease of assembly

The chamber insert will be sized so that during the static fire the insert will expand and make contact on the chamber inner wall, this will help transfer all of the load to the chamber wall



Installing the Chamber insert

The axial length of the insert will be sized to be slightly larger then the engines axial length. This will require to press fit the chamber liner during assembly

Press fitting the chamber liner will prevent any axial movement during the static fire

A clocking feature on the injector face will position the liner correctly, to make sure the pressure chambers and ignitor are lined up

Clocking Feature

USCViterbi

J&J Build & Test

Printed Pieces

Each engine will be made up 4 printed pieces:

- **1.** Injector
- 2. Chamber
- 3. Nozzle Retention Ring ¹/₂
- **4**. Nozzle Retention Ring 2/2







USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Printer: EOS M290

Design Constraints:

Max Print Height: 325 mm (12.8 inch) Must avoid 45° overhangs Features should be above 150 μm (0.006 inch)

	ALTERNIE	THITTINY	ALL INTELLY
CAD	50 degrees	45 degrees	40 degrees
WATTAN	MITATION COMMENT	HALLAN CALL	Manan
35 degrees	30 degrees	25 degrees	20 degrees



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Injector Print Direction



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Chamber Print Direction





Retention Ring Print Direction



School of Engineering

Print Direction

Additive Manufacturers

Will be using USC's Center for Advance Manufacturing (CAM) to print Jessie & James

Cheapest solution as they:

- Charge \$7/hour of printing time (others ~ \$100/hour)
- Only charge for the material
 - Inconel \$150/kg
 - Maraging Seel \$150/kg



3/8" Scale of our Balerion Engine printed at CAM

USCViterbi



1/8" Slice of our Balerion Engine printed at CAM

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

J&J Engine Parts on Build Plates







Retention Ring on build plate

Injector on Build Plate

Both Chambers on Build Plate

No Support Structure Required!



USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Additive Manufacturers

Jessie & James Printing Cost Estimate

Print Hours

2 Combustion Chambers ~ 66 hours

2 injectors & 4 (1/2) retention rings ~ 80 hours

Total weight of printed parts 5.72 kgs (12.6lbs)

Printing Time Cost: \$1,022

Material Cost: \$1,716

Estimated Total Cost: \$2,738





J&J Build & Test Tolerance Stack-ups

J&J Build & Test Tolerance Stack-ups

Tolerance Stack-Up

This will be completed post CDR

Stack-ups that will be addressed:

- Graphite liner press fit
- Graphite liner radial strain during test to ensure the printed chamber takes pressure loads
- Screw socket head below flange surface

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Hooke's Law:

$$\sigma = E\varepsilon$$
, $\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0}$

$$\varepsilon_{max} = \frac{\sigma_{ult}}{E} = \frac{50 MPA}{7 GPA} = 0.007$$
 , $\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0}$

 $\Delta L = \varepsilon_{max} L_0 = (0.007)(0.11)m$

$$\Delta L = 7.7E - 4 m (0.030 inch)$$

 $L_0 = 0.11 m (4.375 inch)$

Can Axial or Radially Compress ~0.030 inch before failure




Future Modifications Hydra





Future Modifications Jessie & James





Supplementary Material Master Equipment List (MEL)

Supplementary Material *Master Equipment List (MEL)*





Supplementary Material Cost

Supplementary Material Cost





Schedule



The only difference in design is the injector & pressure transducer ports are rotated by 180°



Supplementary Material *Schedule*

USCViterbi



Supplementary Material *Future Slide*

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Radiation Heat Addition

Radiation heat transfer coefficient $h_{rad} = \varepsilon \sigma (T_c^2 + T_\infty^2) (T_c + T_\infty)$ Where $\varepsilon = emissivity (flame)$ $\sigma = Stefan - Boltzmann constant =$ $5.67 \times 10^{-8} (\frac{W}{m^2 K^4})$ $T_c = chamber temperature$ $T_\infty = ambient temperature$

Convection Heat Addition



Motivation & Introduction

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Constraints for Jessie & James

Hydra

- Bottle Pressure (limits deltaT, and Mdot)
- Oxygen Regulator (Droop, max set pressure 1500 psi)
- Flow Meter
- Cylinder Orifice
- Line Velocity
- Pressurant max static line pressure (1890 psi Fuel Tank)



Motivation & Introduction Design Constraints

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Constraints with Hydra Flow Meter Check



Motivation & Introduction Design Constraints

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Constraints with Hydra

Ox Cylinder Orifice Choke Check Mass flow rate $\dot{m} = \rho u A$ Speed of Sound $a = \sqrt{\gamma RT}$ Mention still need to know pressure drop by orifice and particulate filter and still maintain a incoming pressure above 2000 psi



Motivation & Introduction Design Constraints

USCViterbi

School of Engineering

Constraints with Hydra Line Velocity Check

